

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/777,557	FOORE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Alpus H. Hsu	2616

**All Participants:**

(1) Alpus H. Hsu.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David Thibodeau (Reg. No. 31671).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 September 2006

**Time:** 3:40 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*possible 101 non-statutory rejection regarding claim 35*

**Claims discussed:**

35

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The applicant's representative agreed to have claim 35 canceled by the examiner's amendment to expedite the prosecution of the instant application*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)